This lack of important information hampers our ability to track down, capture, and punish terrorists and their supporters. The technology to accomplish this goal exists, and can be quickly and inexpensively modified to law enforcement and public safety requirements. However, the government needs to make this a priority.

Although we have long held concern for the impact of hazardous materials on the public, the terrorist attack of September 11 and subsequent attacks require a heightened response. The weaponization of Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear ("CBRN") materials demands an accounting of these high-risk materials, particularly as they accumulate at seemingly innocent locations. Tracking CBRN materials is an important step in anticipating and preventing their misuse and thereby thwarting terrorist activity.

We currently have the capability for sophisticated materials management that connects people, places, processes, and products in a manner critical to security. The federal and local governments should work to put in service high-risk material tracking systems that provide the basis for powerful, instantaneous decision making. The government control centers can observe the global position of hazardous materials provided by producers and users in all our allied nations. In less accessible locations, the information could be collected through satellite technology.

Such a hazardous materials management system should: provide for data collection and for authorization at customs operations and border controls; use sophisticated bar code and embedded chip data transmitting devices; employ handheld capabilities to manage field operations and material logistics; have multi-language capability and global reach; integrate with e-solutions and Defense Department Enterprise Resource Planning systems; and make use of data mining and knowledge management principles.

Our Nation should immediately move to identify and track the movement or accumulation of CBRN materials. We must monitor CBRN materials at all global locations, including where they are produced, transported, used, staged and/or stored. And we must track, consolidate and analyze the CBRN material movements as the basis for a legitimate solution to the threats posed to Americans and our citizens abroad.

At the same time that we use technology to better protect Americans, we must make certain that our technological infrastructure is protected from attack. To that end, critical infrastructure should undergo automated electronic testing of their internal and external network assets on a frequent and recurring basis. This testing should include written or electronic reports detailing the methods of testing

This lack of important information used and the results of all tests perhampers our ability to track down, formed, so that trend-line analysis of capture, and punish terrorists and their supporters. The technology to actually discrete.

The Policy on Critical Infrastructure Protection: Presidential Decision Directive 63 ("PDD-63") provided a starting point for addressing cyber risks against our Nation. This directive identified the critical sectors of our economy and assigned lead agencies to coordinate sector cyber security efforts. This directive presents the vision that "the United States will take all necessary measures to eliminate swiftly any significant vulnerability to both physical and cyber attacks on our critical infrastructures, including especially our cyber systems."

I believe that we can prepare a defense for our critical infrastructure much like we prepared for problems associated with the year 2000 computer bug. First, we need, as the President recently appointed, an executive agent for cyberspace security, who has the power necessary to cause mandatory private and public interaction and coordination. Second, we must consider empowering and funding each PDD-63 lead agency to establish quantitative baselines of the external and internal network security posture of their portion of critical industries. This can be done through automated electronic testing. Third, we must identify vulnerable critical systems within the critical infrastructures and secure them to the extent possible through software updates, patches, and other correcting configuration issues. Fourth, we should mandate continued automated electronic reassessment of systems, especially after upgrades or patches are applied. This will provide quantitative views of security over time. We must also enforce electronic documentation of reassessments and hold businesses and vendors accountable for failure to adhere to security mandates. Finally, we must expand our domestic partnerships to global public/ private partnerships, including both coalition governments and multinational corporations. I would also think that the broadening of mandates in these partnerships should consider standards for layered security, penetration testing, and demonstrate a commitment to the development and installation of wireless equivalency pro-

We must make use of every tool at our disposal in our fight against terrorism. We must take advantage of American ingenuity and our technological supremacy as we work to rid the world of terrorism. In addition, it is critical that we protect our critical technological infrastructure from those who would use our technology against us.

CHANGES TO THE 2002 APPROPRIA-TIONS COMMITTEE ALLOCATION AND BUDGETARY AGGREGATES

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, section 314 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended, requires the chairman of the Senate Budget Committee to adjust the budgetary aggregates and the allocation for the Appropriations Committee by the amount of appropriations designated as emergency spending pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended. The 2001 Emergency Supplemental Recovery and Response to Terrorist Attacks (Public Law 107-38) contains funding that will result in \$13.397 billion in outlays in fiscal year 2002. Because all budget authority in this measure was appropriated in fiscal year 2001, the adjustment made here is for outlays only.

Pursuant to section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act, I hereby revise the 2002 allocation provided to the Senate Appropriations Committee in the concurrent budget resolution in the following amounts.

Pursuant to section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I hereby revise the 2002 budget aggregates included in the concurrent budget resolution in the following amounts.

I ask unanimous consent to print tables 1 and 2 in the RECORD, which reflect the changes made to the committee's allocation and to the budget aggregates.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

TABLE 1.—REVISED ALLOCATION FOR APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE, 2002

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget au- thority	Outlays
Current Allocation: General Purpose Discretionary Highways Mass Transit Conservation Mandatory Total	549,444 0 0 1,760 358,567 909,771	537,907 28,489 5,275 1,232 350,837
Adjustments: General Purpose Discretionary Highways Mass Transit Conservation Mandatory	0 0 0 0 0	13,397 0 0 0 0
Total	0	13,397
Revised Allocation: General Purpose Discretionary Highways Mass Transit Conservation Mandatory Total	549,444 0 0 1,760 356,567 358,567	551,304 28,489 5,275 1,232 350,837

TABLE 2.—REVISED BUDGET AGGREGATES, 2002
[In millions of dollars]

	Budget au- thority	Outlays
Current allocation: Budget Resolution	1,519,719 0	1,485,128 13,39

TABLE 2.—REVISED BUDGET AGGREGATES, 2002—Continued

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget au- thority	Outlays
Revised allocation: Budget Resolution	1,519,719	1,498,525

Mr. CONRAD. Pursuant to section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I hereby revise the 2002 budget aggregates included in the concurrent budget resolution in the following amounts.

TABLE 2.—REVISED BUDGET AGGREGATES, 2002

	Budget au- thority	Outlays
Current allocation: Budget Resolution	1,519,719 300	1,498,525 75
Revised allocation: Budget Resolution	1,520,019	1,498,600

ZIMBABWE

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I want to take a few moments to discuss the deteriorating situation in Zimbabwe. Over the past several months, we have all watched with alarm as President Mugabe has placed his desire to remain in power above the best interests of his own people. In the process, Mr. Mugabe's government has destroyed the rule of law, contributed to food shortages, committed violations of human rights, and wrecked the economy—causing unemployment to rise to more than 60 percent.

The issue has received most of the attention is land reform. There is no question that land reform is badly needed to ensure long-term prosperity in Zimbabwe. As late as 1999, the process appeared to be moving in the right direction: Zimbabwe had presented a detailed plan for the inception phase of a land reform effort, the World Bank had made a \$5 million pledge to assist with the resettlement of poor farmers, and several bilateral donors, including the United States, made pledges of assistance.

However, in an attempt to deflect attention from a failing economy, a misguided military intervention in the Congo, widespread government corruption, and a host of other domestic problems, President Mugabe decided to support the sudden occupation of large farms. In the wake of this ill-conceived policy, several farmers have been killed, the independence of the judicial system has been seriously undermined, and agricultural production has been sharply reduced, contributing to widespread food shortages throughout the country.

As the land seizure crisis continues, other forms of harassment and political violence in Zimbabwe—carried out primarily by members of the ZANU-PF party against members of the Movement for Democratic Change (MDC), journalists, and other critics of the government—have steadily escalated.

A number of recent events clearly indicate that the situation is a risk of spiraling out of control: the MDC office in Bulawayo was invaded and burnt down with a petrol bomb, as the police stood by and watched; there are reports that MDC members have been illegally taken into custody and tortured; the government announced the humanitarian organizations will not be permitted to distribute food aid in rural areas where it is acutely needed; and after two journalists were arrested, the minister of information compared the international media to terrorists and began notifying foreign journalists that they would not be allowed to work in the country for the foreseeable future.

There are also serious concerns about the upcoming Presidential election scheduled for early next year. As a Gallup poll shows President Mugabe running behind MDC candidate Morgan Tsvangirai, many outside observers believe that Mr. Mugabe and ZANU-PF will stop at nothing to remain in power, and are engaged in activities to undermine the democratic process and illegally alter the outcome of the election. In addition to the campaign of harassment and violence against MDC supporters, the government has prevented non-governmental organizations from carrying out voter education campaigns and has refused to allow observers from international organizations, including the European Union, to monitor the elections. Moreover, the government is pushing through electoral reforms that will effectively withhold absentee ballots from Zimbabweans living abroad, with the exception of diplomats and soldiers, and require voters to present proof of residency. These are measures that could eliminate thousands from the voter rolls.

Because of the serious situation in Zimbabwe, I have joined with Senator FEINGOLD and sponsored a provision which was included in FY 2002 Foreign Operations Appropriations Conference Report that requires U.S. executive directors to international financial institutions to vote against loans, except those for basic human needs or democracy-building purposes, to the Government of Zimbabwe, unless the Secretary of State determines and reports that the rule of law has been restored.

I would also like to point out that earlier this session the House and Senate passed S. 494, the Zimbabwe Democracy and Economic Recovery Act of 2001, and I look forward to President Bush signing it into law, as soon as possible. S. 494 contains several provisions similar to section 560 in the Foreign Operations Conference Report, although section 560 does not provide waiver authority.

Mr. President, I continue to strongly support the Administration's request for assistance to Zimbabwe for health care programs, strengthening civil society that is not affiliated with the ruling party, peace corps activities, and humanitarian purposes. However, the request for funds to restart the International Military Education and Training is premature, and would send the wrong message at this critical juncture.

BANKRUPTCY OF AMERICAN CLASSIC VOYAGES AND THE FAILURE OF "PROJECT AMERICA"

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President I want to bring to the attention of may colleagues a short article that appeared in Sunday's New York Times that points out just how awry a project based on pork barrel politics can go. The article, title "A Venture in Ships Is a Rare Zell Flop," gives a short chronicle of the rise and fall of American Classic Voyages (AMCV), its largest shareholder. and the government support for American Classic Voyages that has now left the taxpayers holding the proverbial bag for a whopping \$366.9 million in defaults on title XI maritime loan guarantees.

On October 19, 2001, American Classic Voyages (AMCV) voluntarily filed a petition for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The petition lists total assets of \$37.4 million and total liabilities of \$452.8 million. The cruise line's reorganization petition indicated it has more than 1,000 creditors, including the Department of Transportation. The Department of Transportation in this case, means the American taxpaver whose exposure on a total of six title XI maritime loan guarantees made to AMCV totals \$366,897,000. The loans cover five vessels that were in service in Hawaii. the East Coast, and the Northwest Coast and the partially completed "Project America" vessel at Northrup Grumman's Ingalls Shipbuildings in Pascagoula, Mississippi.

In order for my colleagues to fully understand what this article in the business section of the New York Times represents, we really need to look back at the brief history of the American Classic Voyages rise and the political push for AMCV's "Project America." The "Project America" initiative included building two 1,900 passenger cruise ships that were to enter service in Hawaii in 2004 and 2005. These were to be the largest cruise ships ever built in the United States. To help push the program, the U.S. Maritime Administration (MARAD), in the face of strong political support for the project, approved a \$1.1 billion title XI loan guarantee for the construction of these two vessels on April 8, 1999.

The New York Times article reports just how that political pressure was felt at MARAD when it quotes a former top MARAD official who insisted on anonymity saying. "We were supported